

1 NAVI SINGH DHILLON (SBN 279537)
navidhillon@paulhastings.com
2 PETER C. MEIER (SBN 179019)
petermeier@paulhastings.com
3 LUCAS V. GRUNBAUM (SBN 314180)
lucasgrunbaum@paulhastings.com
4 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
101 California Street, 48th Floor
5 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 856-7000
6
7 HARIKRIA KARIS (*admitted pro hac vice*)
hkaris@kirkland.com
8 ROBERT B. ELLIS (*admitted pro hac vice*)
rellis@kirkland.com
9 MARK J. NOMELLINI (*admitted pro hac vice*)
mnomellini@kirkland.com
10 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
11 Telephone: (312) 862-2000
12 JON DAVID KELLEY (*admitted pro hac vice*)
jon.kelley@kirkland.com
13 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
4550 Travis Street
14 Dallas, TX 75205
15 Attorneys for Defendant
PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY
16

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING
20 PROTECTION ALLIANCE,
21 Plaintiff,
22 vs.
23 PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,
24 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00073-DAD-JDP

**STIPULATION CONCERNING
BRIEFING DEADLINES FOR
BTB/MTS'S MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

Judge: Hon. Dale A. Drozd
Courtroom: 4

Action Filed: January 14, 2021
Trial Date: None

1 Having met and conferred, Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company (“Pacific Bell”) and
 2 non-party witnesses Marine Taxonomic Services, Ltd., Below the Blue, Seth Jones, and Monique
 3 Rydel-Fortner (collectively “BTB/MTS”) (together, the “Parties,” and each a “Party”) hereby
 4 stipulate and agree as follows:

5 **WHEREAS**, on October 14, 2025, BTB/MTS filed and served their “Request for
 6 Reconsideration by the District Court of Magistrate Judge’s Ruling” (the “Request for
 7 Reconsideration”) in the related Case No. 2:24-cv-00022-KJM-JDP (MTS/Dkt. 35).¹ BTB-MTS
 8 stated in the Memorandum in Support of the Request that it did so on the understanding that District
 9 Judge Kimberly J. Mueller had assumed a supervisory role as District Court Judge, and that filing
 10 in that docket was the correct procedure to ensure that the request would be docketed before the
 11 relevant District Court Judge (see MTS/Dkt 27, 30, 36 at 4-6 & n.5); and

12 **WHEREAS**, Joshua Koltun, counsel for BTB-MTS, has represented to counsel for Pacific
 13 Bell as follows. On October 16, at 2:39 pm, the Deputy Clerk of the Court (“KEZ”), called him
 14 and indicated that BTB-MTS should refile the Request for Reconsideration in Case No. 2:21-cv-
 15 00073-JDP. Koltun expressed the concern that BTB-MTS needed to docket the request before a
 16 District Court Judge (at the time the docket in this matter did not list a supervisory District Court
 17 Judge). The Deputy Clerk assured Koltun that the Court had an alternative means of ensuring that
 18 the Request for Reconsideration be docketed before a District Court Judge; and

19 **WHEREAS**, the Deputy Clerk then (at 2:51 pm on October 16) issued a “CLERK’S
 20 NOTICE of DOCKET CORRECTION” (MTS Dkt 38) ordering that the Request for
 21 Reconsideration, Memorandum, and Proposed Order (MTS/Dkt 35, 36, 37) be refiled in the correct
 22 case number, 2:21-cv-00073-JDP; and

23 ¹ In this Stipulation, the, entries on that docket will be referred to as “MTS/Dkt __,” and docket
 24 entries in Case No. 2:24-cv-00022-KJM-JDP as “BTB/Dkt __.” The Request for Reconsideration
 25 was served on all of Pacific Bell’s counsel listed on both dockets.

1 **WHEREAS**, BTB-MTS shortly thereafter refiled the documents as directed, *see* BTB/Dkt
2 179, 180, 181; and,

3 **WHEREAS**, on October 17, the Courtroom Deputy to Magistrate Judge Jeremy D.
4 Peterson issued an order that, in light of the Request for Reconsideration, “the Clerk of the Court
5 is directed to randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case (BTB/Dkt 182); and

6 **WHEREAS**, shortly thereafter the case was assigned to the District Judge Dale A. Drozd
7 (BTB/Dkt 183);

8 **WHEREAS**, in light of the foregoing procedural background, and pursuant to Local Rule
9 303(d) of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Pacific Bell’s
10 response to the Request for Reconsideration is due seven (7) days after the filing of the Request;

11 **WHEREAS**, counsel for Pacific Bell and counsel for BTB/MTS have conferred and agreed
12 to resolve their respective contentions concerning deadlines that might arise under the foregoing
13 circumstances, and

14 **WHEREAS** counsel for BTB-MTS is also willing as a professional courtesy to agree to a
15 to a short extension of Pacific Bell’s response deadline.

16 **NOW, THEREFORE**, the Parties respectfully and jointly request that the Court enter an
17 order as follows:

18 1. BTB-MTS is deemed to have timely filed its Request for Reconsideration; and
19
20 2. Pacific Bell’s deadline to file its response to the Motion for Reconsideration is extended
21 to **October 28, 2025**.

22 The Parties further agree that this stipulation to extend the deadline is made without waiver
23 of any argument or defense that Pacific Bell may have in opposition to BTB/MTS’s Motion for
24 Reconsideration. Neither Party has requested any prior extensions regarding this matter, and thus
25 the extensions requested will not unduly delay these proceedings. A stipulated order follows the
26
27 Parties’ signature blocks.

1 DATED: October 20, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

2 /s/ Jon Kelley

3 HARIKLIA KARIS (*admitted pro hac vice*)
hkaris@kirkland.com
4 ROBERT B. ELLIS (*admitted pro hac vice*)
rellis@kirkland.com
5 MARK J. NOMELLINI (*admitted pro hac vice*)
mnomellini@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
6 300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
7 Telephone: (312) 862-2000
8 Fax: (312) 862-2200
9

10 JON DAVID KELLEY (*admitted pro hac vice*)
jon.kelley@kirkland.com
11 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
4550 Travis Street
12 Dallas, TX 75205

13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

15 /s/ Joshua Koltun

16 Joshua Koltun (Bar No. 173040)
One Sansome Street Suite 1400, No. 2544
17 San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: 415.680.3410
Facsimile: 866.462.5959
18 joshua@koltunattorney.com
19

20 Attorney for Nonparty Witnesses
21 MARINE TAXONOMIC SERVICES, LTD,
BELOW THE BLUE, SETH JONES, AND
22 MONIQUE RYDEL-FORTNER

23
24 The filer of this document attests that all signatories listed above have concurred in the
25 filing of this document.
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

In light of the stipulation between Pacific Bell Telephone Company (“Pacific Bell”) and non-party witnesses Marine Taxonomic Services, Ltd., Below the Blue, Seth Jones, and Monique Rydel-Fortner (collectively “BTB/MTS”) (together, the “Parties”) and good cause appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS the Parties’ request as follows:

1. BTB-MTS is deemed to have timely filed its Request for Reconsideration (Dkt 179);
2. Pacific Bell's deadline to file its response to the Request for Reconsideration is extended to **October 28, 2025**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2025

DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE